Heterotopic Pregnancy: A Case Report

ABSTRACT
Introduction Heterotopic pregnancy or combination of intra and extra-uterine pregnancy is very rare, but its incidence increased sharply in recent years due to the development of medically assisted reproductive technology. This condition carries a significant maternal morbidity and mortality due to the risk of rupture of ectopic pregnancy. This study was a case report of heterotopic pregnancy.

Patient Information A 25 year old pregnant woman with abdominal pain and nausea and vomiting was admitted in 12 March 2018 in Firoozgar hospital that ultrasound examination suggested heterotopic pregnancy and laparotomy and left salpingectomy was performed, and intrauterine pregnancy continued.

Conclusion A high index of suspicion can help in timely diagnosis and appropriate intervention and decrease the risk of complications and maternal mortality.
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Introduction
Heterotopic pregnancy is the existence of two simultaneous pregnancies with separate implantation site, one of which is a viable intrauterine pregnancy and the other of which is non-viable ectopic pregnancy. Heterotopic pregnancy is very rare in natural conception (1/10000-1/30000 pregnancies) [1, 4], but it is most common in couples who conceive with assisted reproductive procedures like IVF as many as 1/3900 pregnancies [2], and there are cases of heterotopic interstitial pregnancy [3, 5], a high index of suspicious can help in timely diagnosis and appropriate intervention. A woman experiencing a heterotopic pregnancy may or may not have symptoms. This is especially concerning since half of these pregnancies only diagnosed when the fallopian tube ruptures. If symptoms are present, they may include: abnormal vaginal bleeding, mild to severe abdominal pain or cramping, dizziness, fainting, nausea and vomiting and bloating.

Diagnosis of heterotopic pregnancy is very difficult in its early stages, women may have vaginal bleeding and cramping, but these symptoms that occur in a normal pregnancy, at the same time it is easy to miss a heterotopic pregnancy during a routine ultrasound since the technician may only check the developing fetus intrauterine. If there is a suspicion of a heterotopic pregnancy, it usually is only by week four or five that it can be confirmed or to ruled out by ultrasound. This study was a case report of a 25-year-old woman with a heterotopic pregnancy.

Patient Information
A 25-year-old pregnant woman (G1 P0) with abdominal pain and nausea and vomiting was admitted on 12 March 2018 in Firoozgar hospital. Based on the last menstrual period (LMP) of 12 January, gestational age was 8 weeks and 3 days. She was pregnant without the use of any drugs for induction of ovulation. By ultrasound examination, an intrauterine gestational sac with a sub chorionic hematoma 30 mm in its periphery was visible. Gestational age based on Crown-rump length (CRL) of 22 mm was 9 weeks with fetal heart rate (FHR) and yolk sac and a fetus in left adnexa had CRL of 21 mm or 8 weeks and 6 days without FHR. In the periphery of the adnexal sac was the collection 62x58x68mm (left hematosalpinx). There was moderate fluid in the pelvis and peritoneal cavity (Figure 1).

Because of acute abdomen and high suspicious of heterotopic pregnancy laparotomy was performed and in the peritoneal cavity was 1000cc blood and 500cc clot in around of left adnexa and in cul-de-sac. Left fallopian tube was ruptured and bleeding. Left salpingectomy and peritoneal washing were performed (Figure 2).

A 17-alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate injection was prescribed before surgery and then weekly until tenth weeks of pregnancy. The ultrasound in the day after surgery showed a viable fetus. In the pathology report left tubal pregnancy was confirmed.

Figure 1) The ultrasound examination reveals simultaneous intra and extra uterine pregnancy
Figure 2) Left tubal pregnancy

Discussion
Heterotopic pregnancy is very rare, although its prevalence has probably increased due to the emergence of assisted reproductive technology (ART). The patient, in this case, was pregnant spontaneously. Diagnosis of this condition is difficult due to the existence of the intrauterine gestational sac. The most frequent danger lies in the non-recognition of the condition and subsequent rupture of the fallopian tube.
A few case reports have described the treatment of heterotopic cesarean scar viable pregnancies or bilateral tubal ectopic pregnancies [10] with local injection of potassium chloride. This method is commonly used for fetal reduction in multiple pregnancies [6-8], but in our cases expectant or medical management because of the diagnosis of acute abdomen is not feasible. However treatment with potassium chloride is associated with an increased risk of abdominal pain, pregnancy loss, excessive vaginal bleeding, prematurity, need for subsequent surgery, and spontaneous rupture of membranes and subsequent chorioamnionitis [9].

In this patient, the same as the case of Headley and Adum [11], the diagnosis of heterotopic pregnancy was very soon after admission and confirmed after laparotomy and pathology result.

We followed the patient and the last ultrasound examination performed in 20 August, showed a normal fetus with gestational age of 31 weeks and 5 days. Because this study was a case report, there was no limitation.

**Conclusion**

Clinicians should always consider heterotopic pregnancy in the differential diagnosis in a reproductive age patient with abdominal pain and signs or symptoms of ectopic pregnancy. A high index of suspicion for early and timely diagnosis and management with laparotomy or laparoscopy can result in a favorable successful outcome.
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