

Platinum- and Non-Platinum-Based Chemotherapy in Patients with Recurrent Platinum-Resistant Ovarian Cancer: A Review Article

Shahrzad Sheikhhasani, Maryam Noorzadeh, Mahsa Naemi*

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran



Article Info

doi [10.30699/jogcr.9.2.114](https://doi.org/10.30699/jogcr.9.2.114)

Received: 2023/08/14;

Accepted: 2023/11/14;

Published Online: 13 Mar 2024;

Use your device to scan and read the article online



Corresponding Information:

Mahsa Naemi,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,
School of Medicine, Tehran University of
Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Email: naemi.m2018@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Ovarian cancer is the second most common malignancy in women worldwide, causing many deaths each year. Chemotherapy is one of the most important therapeutic strategies that can increase the survival of these patients; however, one of the problems in chemotherapy is resistance against platinum treatment. Evaluating the effect of platinum- and non-platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian cancer can enhance our view on this issue. The present review article sought to identify the treating efficacy of platinum and non-platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian cancer by searching scientific databases and examining the aspects of platinum resistance in various articles. Oncological results have shown that ovarian cancer is a deadly disease, and most cases are diagnosed when the cancer spreads outside the ovary and often throughout the entire abdomen. On the other hand, in many cases, disease recurrence is associated with drug resistance. The use of a platinum-free interval has played an important role in its treatment efficacy. Understanding the cause of platinum resistance and discovering strategies to reduce drug resistance, especially to new ones, is very important. The present article suggested oncology teams agree on treatment methods and the best treatment approach against platinum resistance in malignant ovarian cancers and offer a better treatment solution by considering innovative strategies.

Keywords: Chemotherapy, Platinum, Recurrence, Ovarian Cancer



Copyright © 2024, This is an original open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-noncommercial 4.0 International License which permits copy and redistribution of the material just in noncommercial usages with proper citation.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the second most common known malignancy in women worldwide, causing 150,000 deaths annually (1). The 5-year survival rate for patients suffering from this cancer is approximately 45% (2). The prevalence of ovarian cancer is 9.2 per 100,000 in Iceland, 9.4 per 100,000 in the black population, and 10.3 per 100,000 in Spain (3, 4). Overall, ovarian cancer is the seventh most common cancer among women. In 2018, 4.4% of all cancer deaths among women were attributed to ovarian cancer. Although the prevalence of cancer is higher in countries with high Human development index (HDI), the mortality rate is lower in these countries. Various factors affect the incidence of ovarian cancer, among which the genetic factor is the most important. Pregnancy, breastfeeding, and oral contraceptives all play a role in reducing the risk of this disease. Early diagnosis and early prevention of ovarian cancer is difficult due to its heterogenic nature (5, 6). Most patients with ovarian cancer relapse within the first 3 years following diagnosis (7, 8). At the time of disease recurrence, surgical treatment and chemotherapy are

used as the main therapeutic approaches (9). Platinum-based chemotherapy is one of the most commonly used treatment regimens offered for this cancer. Despite its effectiveness, platinum induces drug resistance after prolonged use (10). Although patients are sensitive to platinum in the early stages of relapse, eventually they show resistance to platinum (11). Due to the important issue of resistance to platinum, the present review article was performed to evaluate the treating efficacy of platinum- and non-platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian cancer.

Methods

The present review article investigated the therapeutic status of platinum- and non-platinum based chemotherapy in patients with recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. For this purpose, papers which investigated ovarian cancer and resistance induced by platinum during chemotherapy in patients with ovarian cancer and published from 1997 to 2020 were selected.

The search for articles was done in May 2020, and databases of Nature, WHO, NCBI, PsycINFO, Pubmed, and Medline were searched to find articles on ovarian cancer. Research on ovarian cancer, treatment, and drug resistance was searched and evaluated. During the search, keywords of ovarian cancer, ovary, carboplatin, platinum, platinum resistance, platinum sensitivity, resistance mechanism, etc. were used. Duplications and articles that were not related to our topics were identified and deleted during the data collection process. Out of a total of 316 articles, 74 ones were finalized for further investigation.

Ovarian carcinoma

Ovarian carcinoma is a type of cancer in women that is often associated with differentiation from epithelial cells (12). The main subtypes of ovarian carcinoma include high-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC), endometrioid carcinoma (EC), clear cell carcinoma (CCC), low-grade serous carcinoma (LGSC), and mucinous carcinoma (MC) (Table 1) (7, 13, 14). In the following, these types of ovarian carcinoma are elaborated.

High-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC)

HGSC is the most common subtype of ovarian cancer. HGSCs are responsible for 70 to 74% of ovarian carcinomas, and less than 5% of HGSCs are diagnosed at stage I (15). HGSC presents in women later than LGSC, so that the mean age of HGSC onset is 60 years. HGSCs are usually widely disseminated and may involve large masses in the ovaries and other intra-abdominal locations, with or without ascites (4, 16-18).

Endometriosis carcinoma (EC)

Approximately half of the ovarian ECs are diagnosed at stage I, of which about 15% involve two ovaries (13). EC is one of the two main types of ovarian cancer associated with endometriosis. Around 5% of ovarian ECs are concurrent with uterine EC at the time of diagnosis. The origin of carcinoma is important for both treatment and prognosis. In many cases, these two cancers are thought to be from a primary tissue, but the prognosis of an EC that originates from the uterus and then metastasizes to one or both ovaries is considerably worse and more serious (19-21).

Clear cell carcinoma (CCC)

CCCs are the main group of ovarian carcinomas associated with endometriosis. Researchers have observed several growth patterns for CCC, such as solid, papillary, and tubulocystic pattern (22-25).

Low-grade serous carcinoma (LGSC)

LGSCs are uncommon types of ovarian cancer, and only 10 to 20% of them are diagnosed at stage I (26, 27). Although LGSCs are usually less prevalent than HGSCs, they are relatively more resistant to chemotherapy (28) and the overall survival rate is low for women diagnosed at an advanced stage of this

disease (29). HGSCs are most associated with serous borderline tumors (SBTs) and present in the form of palpable masses in one or both ovaries. LGSCs are less likely to progress to malignancy tumors than HGSCs, and there are two types of ovarian carcinomas with different mutation patterns for most cancer cases (28, 30-33).

Mucinous carcinoma (MC)

Benign ovarian mucinous tumors account for approximately 12% of all ovarian tumors in the Western world (34). Many malignant ovarian tumors are metastases from MC appearing in other subtypes of cancer, such as the gastrointestinal and biliary tracts and pancreas (35, 36). Since most MCs show evidence of intestinal-type differentiation, differentiating between primary and metastatic MC can be challenging. Consequently, in some cases, it is possible to mistakenly classify metastatic ovarian adenocarcinoma as the primary ovarian MCs (37, 38).

Chemotherapy

Types of chemotherapy

- A) Curative chemotherapy: It is done with the aim of eliminating all cancer cells from the body and removing the cancer completely.
- B) Adjuvant chemotherapy: It is done to destroy hidden cancer cells that may remain in the body after surgery but are not detectable.
- C) Neo-adjuvant chemotherapy: Some tumors are very large or are in places such as the liver, below the diaphragm, or attached to large arteries, and completely removing the tumor is not possible through the first surgery. In these cases, neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, known as preoperative chemotherapy, can be done before surgery to shrink the tumor.
- D) Palliative chemotherapy: Chemotherapy is called palliative when it is no longer possible to remove all the tumor cells.

Among the treatment's studies, platinum is one of the most important cytotoxics in inhibiting ovarian cancer. In most cases, during the treatment of ovarian cancer, the efficiency of chemotherapy and the treatment process is impaired due to platinum resistance (40, 41).

Platinum-based chemotherapy

Platinum is one of the most common anticancer drugs used in chemotherapy. It interacts/ reacts with /binds to DNA atoms, damaging DNA strands and killing cancer cells. Despite its effectiveness, platinum induces drug resistance after prolonged use in most cases. It is important to note that some tumors are initially resistant to platinum and generally do not respond to platinum treatment (10, 41, 42). Although platinum-based chemotherapy is the first line of treatment for advanced-stage ovarian cancer, platinum resistance usually occurs after 6 months. Many cells

react differently to platinum (Table 2), and platinum exerts different biological effects on cells (43-45).

Table 1. A summary of the five major histotypes of ovarian cancer and risk factors (39)

Item	All invasive	High-grade serosa (HGSC)	Low-grade serous (LGSC)	Mucinous (MC)	Endometrioid (EC)	Clear cell (CCC)
Precursor lesion	NA	Serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma (STIC)	Borderline serous tumor	Cystadenoma, borderline mucinous tumor	Atypical endometriosis	Atypical endometriosis
Somatic mutations	NA	BRCA1/2, TP53	BRAF, KRAS	KRAS	PTEN, CTNNB1, ARID1A, PIK3CA	ARID1A, PIK3CA
Established risk factor						
Age at menarche	Null-weak protection	Null	NE	Null	Null	Weak protection
Age at menopause	Moderate increase	Null	NE	Null	Weak risk	Moderate risk
Parity	Weak-moderate protection	Weak protection	NE	Weak protection	Moderate protection	Moderate-strong protection
Lactation	Weak-moderate protection	Weak protection	NE	Moderate protection	Moderate protection	Null-weak protection
Endometriosis	Moderate-strong risk	Null	Strong risk	Null	Strong risk	Strong risk
Tubal ligation	Moderate protection	Null-weak protection	Null	Null-weak protection	Strong protection	Strong protection
Oral contraceptives	Moderate protection	Moderate protection	NE	Null-weak protection	Moderate protection	Moderate protection
Hormone therapy	Moderate risk	Moderate-strong risk	NE	Null	Moderate-strong risk	Null-weak protection
Body mass index	Weak risk	Null	Weak risk	Weak risk	Weak risk	Weak risk
Smoking	Null	Null	NE	Moderate-strong risk	Null-weak protection	Null-weak protection

Weak: $\leq 25\%$, Moderate: 25%–50%, Strong: $\geq 50\%$, NA= not available, NE= not estimated.^a Given that the majority of serous tumors are high-grade, risk associations for overall serous subtype are reported when no data is available by grade.

Table 2. Biological effects of platinum derivatives on normal cells in ovarian cancer (45)

Activity	Mechanism	Cell type	Drug
Catabolic metabolism	Increased consumption of glucose and generation of lactic acid	Fibroblasts	CIS; CAR
	Induction of autophagy	Tubular epithelial cells	CIS
Drug resistance	Increased expression of anti-apoptotic proteins in cancer cells related to increased production of IL-11 by fibroblasts	Skin fibroblasts	CIS
	Accumulation of drugs in normal cells instead of cancer cells	Skin fibroblasts	CIS

Activity	Mechanism	Cell type	Drug
Induction of cellular senescence	Up-regulation of cell cycle inhibitors; deterioration of cell–cell communication; Induction of SA- β -Gal	Skin fibroblasts	CIS; CAR
	Activation of NF- κ B-dependent inflammatory response	Proximal tubule epithelial cells	CIS
Induction of pro-inflammatory phenotype	Overproduction of IL-1 and IL-6	Umbilical vein endothelial cells	CIS; CAR
	Overproduction of ICAM-1 and IL-8	Retinal endothelial cells	CAR
	Overproduction of ICAM-1 and ELAM-1	Dermal endothelial cells	CAR
Induction of cell death	Dysfunction of mitochondria; activation of caspases	Renal epithelial cells; endothelial cells	CIS
	Increased production of ROS; decreased activity of antioxidants; deregulation of mitochondrial metabolism	Renal proximal tubule epithelial cells	CIS
Induction of oxidative stress	Increased DNA damage	Hippocampal neurons	CIS
	Increased DNA damage	Fibroblasts; Schwann cells	CAR
Modulation of angiogenesis	Impaired MMP-2-related reactions of vascular endothelium	Endothelial cells	CIS
	Increased production of VEGF	Endothelial cells	CAR

Cancer recurrence: platinum sensitivity and resistance

The most common treatment in the early and advanced stages of cancer is surgery and removal of tumor tissue. However, treatment strategies for advanced cancer in women are controversial. In many cancer cases, chemotherapy is used as the main treatment after surgery or without surgery. Studies have shown that although most patients initially respond to chemotherapy, the disease recurs in many cases (8, 10, 45, 46). One of the most important causes of the disease recurrence is resistance to platinum and consequently ineffectiveness of treatment. Platinum-sensitive ovarian tumors respond positively to chemotherapy drugs, resulting in carcinogenic process reduction, but cancer cells are resistant to chemotherapy drugs in many cases (. Resistance to treatment is associated with increase of resistant cancer cells, inhibition of platinum function, and continuation of cancer cells proliferation (47). Resistance to platinum-containing drugs depends on mechanisms such as lack of drug delivery into the cancer cell, oxidation of the drug by intracellular proteins, and so on. The main purpose of platinum drugs is to damage DNA and prevent cell proliferation. Sensitivity or resistance to these drugs depends on the cell's ability to detect the substance damaging the DNA. Clinical evidence suggests that some cellular pathways are disrupted in tumor cells, inducing a specific pathway that is involved in sensitivity and resistance to platinum-based drugs (48-50)

Malignant cases and treatment

One of the most important issues investigated in this article was the study of ovarian cancer recurrence and

platinum resistance. In many cases, the tumor recurs after a period of treatment. Highly efficient and long-term treatment is one of the therapeutic challenges in patients with ovarian cancer. Platinum-sensitive patients were studied in many clinical studies. In these studies, it was revealed that these patients' treatment was associated with good outcomes initially, but most cases experienced efficiency reduction and tumor recurrence (51, 52). Tumor recurrence rate and platinum resistance are effective predictors of discovering platinum resistance and therapeutic efficacy (53). Luo, Lee (54) evaluated 341 patients at stage IIIV and IV of epithelial ovarian cancer in terms of recurrence after neo-adjuvant treatment and surgery. They yielded that treatment with interval debulking surgery (IDS) was associated with the risk of platinum resistance (54). Numerous studies have revealed that IDS treatment is associated with an increased risk of platinum (55-57) and suggested the strategy of using platinum-free interval as one of the good strategies to combat drug resistance (51, 52, 58-61). In various studies on malignant ovarian cancer, offering a platinum-free strategy was effective in chemotherapy (62-64). Studies have revealed that a platinum-free diet is usually the most appropriate method for patients with relapsing cancer and resistance to chemotherapy. Clinical and clinical data have shown that prolonging the platinum-free interval leads to platinum sensitivity restoration in many cases, thus enhancing survival. In fact, these studies suggest that non-platinum-based treatments over a longer period of time lead to platinum sensitivity restoration in cancer cells (47, 65-67). The effectiveness of a non-platinum-based regimen in patients with malignant ovarian cancer also depends on the type of non-platinum-based drug and the period of administration. During a platinum-free interval, the use

of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) or trabectedin in a course of treatment has been effective in restoring sensitivity (68-70). A study in Japan divided patients with malignant ovarian cancer into two groups of platinum-sensitive and platinum-resistant. The aforementioned study showed that resistance was significantly increasing by aging in groups receiving either platinum-based chemotherapy or non-platinum-based chemotherapy. They stated that patients with cancer recurrence were more resistant to platinum at an older age (71). Attention to the issue of age is important in drug resistance, and other effective factors should be considered in this regard.

Platinum- and non-platinum-based drugs and treatment

Platinum-containing drugs (oxaliplatin, carboplatin, and cisplatin) are beneficial in reducing tumorigenesis and are widely used to treat various malignancies such as ovarian, lung, head and neck, testicular, and colon cancers. In particular, taxane-platinum therapy is the gold standard in the treatment of epithelial ovarian cancer. EOC is one of the most resistant tumors to chemotherapy that responds well to cisplatin and carboplatin, but long-term chemotherapy causes resistance in patients with this tumor, which makes continuation of their treatment difficult (39, 48). Non-platinum-based drugs include PLD, paclitaxel, and topotecan (51, 60, 72, 73). Fosbretablin is another drug that has been originally used to treat platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. Non-platinum-based combination therapies have also shown satisfactory results. In a study, treatment with combretastatin and paclitaxel resulted in a good response rate in patients with cancer recurrence. In many studies, the combination of these two drugs has resulted in higher response rates as well as greater improvement in patients' outcomes. Ombrabulin is a derivative of combretastatin that has been shown to be effective in malignant ovarian cancer. It appears to have similar toxicity to combretastatin A4. It has also been evaluated in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin in platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer, and effective results have been observed. Paclitaxel is another agent that is widely used in non-platinum-based chemotherapy (48, 74-77). The standard schedule for administration of this drug is one injection every three weeks for three hours; however, it can also be administered every week (78). Studies in 2013 showed that this drug had great success in the treatment process and reduced resistance in the first week of use (42). A study on platinum resistance has demonstrated that paclitaxel administration once a week is more efficient in treating than its administration once every three weeks (79). Epothilones is another non-platinum-based drug that has been shown to increase the sensitivity and therapeutic efficacy of malignant ovarian cancer (80, 81). Pemetrexed is another non-platinum-based drug that is effective in treating advanced ovarian cancer, provided that standard doses are used (82). Platinum resistance and treatment success are also related to the

dosage of administration and duration of drug injection, and it's largely an algorithm and treatment chart for ovarian cancer. Based on the duration of exposure to platinum, better responses to treatment have been observed.

Studies have yielded that patients who have platinum-free interval for longer than six months and then receive a platinum agent or a biological substance containing platinum will experience better clinical outcomes, indicating that better clinical results would be obtained through administering platinum in platinum-sensitive individuals (six months progression-free interval (PFI)). However, many non-platinum-based chemotherapy treatments are also affected by the PFI parameter, and the time since the last treatment should be considered (74). Nevertheless, the FDA has not officially accepted the role of PFI. For example, while the combination of gemcitabine and carboplatin has been approved for patients with recurrent platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer, non-platinum agents, such as topotecan and liposomal doxorubicin, have been also identified by the FDA as influential agents in the treatment of these patients, and less attention has been paid to the PFI parameter. In addition, more recently, the non-platinum-based drugs of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin and trabectedin have had significant comparable efficacy in comparison with platinum-based compounds in ovarian patients with PFI over six months. Regarding treatment method, the most important therapeutic mechanism of the combination of these drugs in ovarian cancer is targeted chemotherapy, especially the use of angiogenesis inhibitors. Although it is unclear whether the clinical outcomes and efficacy of these new agents are affected by the duality of chemotherapy-sensitive and chemotherapy-resistant or not, they have the potential to increase treatment efficacy in both chemotherapy-resistant and chemotherapy-resistant phenotypes. Non-platinum-based biological agents, such as bevacizumab have also been shown to be effective in treating platinum-sensitive recurrent diseases. Therefore, the use of anti-angiogenesis agents, such as bevacizumab, is an important research priority to be studied as another non-platinum-based drug (4, 34, 83).

Strategies and recommendation

In the initial evaluation, it is important to identify gaps in previous research on the drugs administered. If they are eliminated, it can have the greatest impact on reducing the complications or mortality due to ovarian cancer among women.

Given that HGSC is the most common and deadly ovarian cancer; its study should be a research priority. Due to the rarity and relative heterogenic nature of ovarian cancers, joint research through a consortium is essential. Another noticeable point is to pay attention to the implementation of timely interventions of interventions, informing process, and diagnostic protocol (84). Researchers and financing organizations

should design and prioritize clinical and population-based research programs. The main priority should be to clarify the cellular origin as well as pathogenesis and identification of each subgroup. The present study proposes first the development of experimental model systems which are able to reveal the effectiveness of a drug in ovarian cancer and second the investigation of the tumor characteristics, clinical progression pathways, and the best treatment with the appropriate dosage. Organizations involved in oncology studies and cancer researchers are recommended to agree on new diagnostic criteria, designations, and pharmacological ideas, and identify the most effective drugs. Organizations involved in oncology studies and cancer researchers are suggested to agree on new diagnostic criteria, appellation, and pharmacological ideas, and identify the most effective drugs. Researchers and financing organizations need to focus on developing and evaluating early detection strategies that go beyond current imaging techniques and biomarkers. It is suggested that innovative strategies for surgery and the use of chemotherapy be implemented. Comparison of platinum-based and non-platinum-based drugs can also help with estimation of drug resistance. Studying new surgical methods can also provide useful strategies for this purpose.

Conclusion

The findings suggested that different subtypes of ovarian cancer in most cases expressed specific genes

and markers, many of which are common in ovarian cancer. It is very important to pay attention to the inhibition of these factors as a strategy to reduce resistance to chemotherapy. Understanding the cause of platinum resistance and strategies to reduce drug resistance, especially new drugs, is of paramount importance. Another issue is to pay more attention to recognizing resistance-reducing regimes in cases of disease recurrence, in which related organizations, oncology groups, and ovarian cancer researchers agree on a treatment strategy during drug resistance and continuation of treatment with higher efficiency.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

None.

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2015;65(1):5-29. [DOI:10.3322/caac.21254] [PMID]
2. Momenimovahed Z, Tiznobaik A, Taheri S, Salehiniya H. Ovarian cancer in the world: epidemiology and risk factors. *Int J Womens Health.* 2019;11:287-99. [DOI:10.2147/IJWH.S197604] [PMID] [PMCID]
3. Torre LA, Trabert B, DeSantis CE, Miller KD, Samimi G, Runowicz CD, et al. Ovarian cancer statistics, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(4):284-96. [DOI:10.3322/caac.21456] [PMID] [PMCID]
4. Lowe KA, Chia VM, Taylor A, O'Malley C, Kelsh M, Mohamed M, et al. An international assessment of ovarian cancer incidence and mortality. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2013;130(1):107-14. [DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2013.03.026] [PMID]
5. Kurman RJ. Origin and molecular pathogenesis of ovarian high-grade serous carcinoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24 Suppl 10:16-21. [DOI:10.1093/annonc/mdt463] [PMID]
6. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394-424. [DOI:10.3322/caac.21492] [PMID]
7. Pfisterer J, Plante M, Vergote I, du Bois A, Hirte H, Lacave AJ, et al. Gemcitabine plus carboplatin compared with carboplatin in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer: an intergroup trial of the AGO-OVAR, the NCIC CTG, and the EORTC GCG. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24(29):4699-707. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2006.06.0913] [PMID]
8. Parmar MK, Ledermann JA, Colombo N, du Bois A, Delaloye JF, Kristensen GB, et al. Paclitaxel plus platinum-based chemotherapy versus conventional platinum-based chemotherapy in women with relapsed ovarian cancer: the ICON4/AGO-OVAR-2.2 trial. *Lancet.* 2003;361(9375):2099-106. [PMID] [DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13718-X]
9. Pignata S, Scambia G, Bologna A, Signoriello S, Vergote IB, Wagner U, et al. Randomized

- Controlled Trial Testing the Efficacy of Platinum-Free Interval Prolongation in Advanced Ovarian Cancer: The MITO-8, MaNGO, BGOG-Ov1, AGO-Ovar2.16, ENGOT-Ov1, GCIG Study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(29):3347-53. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2017.73.4293] [PMID]
10. Li QQ, Lee RX, Liang H, Wang G, Li JM, Zhong Y, Reed E. β -Elemene enhances susceptibility to cisplatin in resistant ovarian carcinoma cells via downregulation of ERCC-1 and XIAP and inactivation of JNK. *Int J Oncol*. 2013;43(3):721-8. [DOI:10.3892/ijo.2013.1996] [PMID] [PMCID]
 11. Pfisterer J, Ledermann JA. Management of platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer. *Semin Oncol*. 2006;33(2 Suppl 6):S12-6. [DOI:10.1053/j.seminoncol.2006.03.012] [PMID]
 12. Braicu EI, Sehouli J, Richter R, Pietzner K, Denkert C, Fotopoulou C. Role of histological type on surgical outcome and survival following radical primary tumour debulking of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancers. *Br J Cancer*. 2011;105(12):1818-24. [DOI:10.1038/bjc.2011.455] [PMID] [PMCID]
 13. Gilks CB, Ionescu DN, Kalloger SE, Köbel M, Irving J, Clarke B, et al. Tumor cell type can be reproducibly diagnosed and is of independent prognostic significance in patients with maximally debulked ovarian carcinoma. *Hum Pathol*. 2008;39(8):1239-51. [DOI:10.1016/j.humpath.2008.01.003] [PMID]
 14. Storey DJ, Rush R, Stewart M, Rye T, Al-Nafussi A, Williams AR, et al. Endometrioid epithelial ovarian cancer : 20 years of prospectively collected data from a single center. *Cancer*. 2008;112(10):2211-20. [DOI:10.1002/ncr.23438] [PMID]
 15. Seidman JD, Horkayne-Szakaly I, Haiba M, Boice CR, Kurman RJ, Ronnett BM. The histologic type and stage distribution of ovarian carcinomas of surface epithelial origin. *Int J Gynecol Pathol*. 2004;23(1):41-4. [PMID] [DOI:10.1097/01.pgp.0000101080.35393.16]
 16. Jenison EL, Montag AG, Griffiths CT, Welch WR, Lavin PT, Greer J, Knapp RC. Clear cell adenocarcinoma of the ovary: a clinical analysis and comparison with serous carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol*. 1989;32(1):65-71. [PMID] [DOI:10.1016/0090-8258(89)90852-4]
 17. Kurian AW, Hughes E, Handorf EA, Gutin A, Allen B, Hartman A-R, Hall MJ. Breast and ovarian cancer penetrance estimates derived from germline multiple-gene sequencing results in women. *JCO Precis Oncol*. 2017;1:1-12. [DOI:10.1200/PO.16.00066] [PMID]
 18. Kuchenbaecker KB, Hopper JL, Barnes DR, Phillips KA, Mooij TM, Roos-Blom MJ, et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. *JAMA*. 2017;317(23):2402-16. [DOI:10.1001/jama.2017.7112] [PMID]
 19. Soliman PT, Slomovitz BM, Broaddus RR, Sun CC, Oh JC, Eifel PJ, et al. Synchronous primary cancers of the endometrium and ovary: a single institution review of 84 cases. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2004;94(2):456-62. [DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2004.05.006] [PMID]
 20. Zaino R, Whitney C, Brady MF, DeGeest K, Burger RA, Buller RE. Simultaneously detected endometrial and ovarian carcinomas--a prospective clinicopathologic study of 74 cases: a gynecologic oncology group study. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2001;83(2):355-62. [DOI:10.1006/gy.2001.6400] [PMID]
 21. McConechy MK, Ding J, Senz J, Yang W, Melnyk N, Tone AA, et al. Ovarian and endometrial endometrioid carcinomas have distinct CTNNB1 and PTEN mutation profiles. *Mod Pathol*. 2014;27(1):128-34. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1038/modpathol.2013.107]
 22. Veras E, Mao TL, Ayhan A, Ueda S, Lai H, Hayran M, et al. Cystic and adenofibromatous clear cell carcinomas of the ovary: distinctive tumors that differ in their pathogenesis and behavior: a clinicopathologic analysis of 122 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2009;33(6):844-53. [DOI:10.1097/PAS.0b013e31819c4271] [PMID]
 23. Jones S, Wang TL, Shih Ie M, Mao TL, Nakayama K, Roden R, et al. Frequent mutations of chromatin remodeling gene ARID1A in ovarian clear cell carcinoma. *Science*. 2010;330(6001):228-31. [DOI:10.1126/science.1196333] [PMID] [PMCID]
 24. Matsumoto T, Yamazaki M, Takahashi H, Kajita S, Suzuki E, Tsuruta T, Saegusa M. Distinct β -catenin and PIK3CA mutation profiles in endometriosis-associated ovarian endometrioid and clear cell carcinomas. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2015;144(3):452-63. [DOI:10.1309/AJCPZ5T2POOFMQVN] [PMID]
 25. Cho KR, Shih Ie M. Ovarian cancer. *Annu Rev Pathol*. 2009;4:287-313. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1146/annurev.pathol.4.110807.092246]
 26. Bodurka DC, Deavers MT, Tian C, Sun CC, Malpica A, Coleman RL, et al. Reclassification of serous ovarian carcinoma by a 2-tier system: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. *Cancer*. 2012;118(12):3087-94. [DOI:10.1002/ncr.26618] [PMID]
 27. Malpica A, Deavers MT, Lu K, Bodurka DC, Atkinson EN, Gershenson DM, Silva EG. Grading

- ovarian serous carcinoma using a two-tier system. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2004;28(4):496-504. [PMID] [DOI:10.1097/00000478-200404000-00009]
28. Gershenson DM, Bodurka DC, Lu KH, Nathan LC, Milojevic L, Wong KK, et al. Impact of Age and Primary Disease Site on Outcome in Women With Low-Grade Serous Carcinoma of the Ovary or Peritoneum: Results of a Large Single-Institution Registry of a Rare Tumor. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(24):2675-82. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2015.61.0873]
 29. Gourley C, Farley J, Provencher DM, Pignata S, Mileshkin L, Harter P, et al. Gynecologic Cancer InterGroup (GCIg) consensus review for ovarian and primary peritoneal low-grade serous carcinomas. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2014;24(Suppl 3):S9-13. [DOI:10.1097/IGC.0000000000000257] [PMID]
 30. Vang R, Shih Ie M, Kurman RJ. Ovarian low-grade and high-grade serous carcinoma: pathogenesis, clinicopathologic and molecular biologic features, and diagnostic problems. *Adv Anat Pathol.* 2009;16(5):267-82. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1097/PAP.0b013e3181b4fffa]
 31. Dehari R, Kurman RJ, Logani S, Shih Ie M. The development of high-grade serous carcinoma from atypical proliferative (borderline) serous tumors and low-grade micropapillary serous carcinoma: a morphologic and molecular genetic analysis. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2007;31(7):1007-12. [DOI:10.1097/PAS.0b013e31802cbb9] [PMID]
 32. Parker RL, Clement PB, Chervcover DJ, Sornarajah T, Gilks CB. Early recurrence of ovarian serous borderline tumor as high-grade carcinoma: a report of two cases. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2004; 23(3):265-72. [PMID] [DOI:10.1097/01.pgp.0000130049.19643.f6]
 33. Silva EG, Tornos CS, Malpica A, Gershenson DM. Ovarian serous neoplasms of low malignant potential associated with focal areas of serous carcinoma. *Mod Pathol.* 1997;10(7):663-7.
 34. AGO-OVAR. *Trials.* 2020. Available from: [https://gciggroup.com/system/files/2016%20Oct%20AGO%20slides%20OV.pdf]
 35. Ledermann JA, Luvero D, Shafer A, O'Connor D, Mangili G, Friedlander M, et al. Gynecologic Cancer InterGroup (GCIg) consensus review for mucinous ovarian carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2014;24(9 Suppl 3):S14-9. [DOI:10.1097/IGC.0000000000000296] [PMID]
 36. Zaino RJ, Brady MF, Lele SM, Michael H, Greer B, Bookman MA. Advanced stage mucinous adenocarcinoma of the ovary is both rare and highly lethal: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Cancer.* 2011;117(3):554-62. [DOI:10.1002/ncr.25460] [PMID] [PMCID]
 37. Lee KR, Young RH. The distinction between primary and metastatic mucinous carcinomas of the ovary: gross and histologic findings in 50 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2003;27(3):281-92. [DOI:10.1097/00000478-200303000-00001] [PMID]
 38. Riopel MA, Ronnett BM, Kurman RJ. Evaluation of diagnostic criteria and behavior of ovarian intestinal-type mucinous tumors: atypical proliferative (borderline) tumors and intraepithelial, microinvasive, invasive, and metastatic carcinomas. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1999; 23(6):617-35. [PMID] [DOI:10.1097/00000478-199906000-00001]
 39. Reid BM, Permuth JB, Sellers TA. Epidemiology of ovarian cancer: a review. *Cancer Biol Med.* 2017;14(1):9-32. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2016.0084]
 40. Kumar S, Mahdi H, Bryant C, Shah JP, Garg G, Munkarah A. Clinical trials and progress with paclitaxel in ovarian cancer. *Int J Womens Health.* 2010;2:411-27. [DOI:10.2147/IJWH.S7012] [PMID] [PMCID]
 41. Yin J, Yan X, Yao X, Zhang Y, Shan Y, Mao N, et al. Secretion of annexin A3 from ovarian cancer cells and its association with platinum resistance in ovarian cancer patients. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2012; 16(2):337-48. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01316.x]
 42. Pinato DJ, Graham J, Gabra H, Sharma R. Evolving concepts in the management of drug resistant ovarian cancer: dose dense chemotherapy and the reversal of clinical platinum resistance. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2013;39(2):153-60. [DOI:10.1016/j.ctrv.2012.04.004] [PMID]
 43. Zhou K, Wang L, Cheng R, Liu X, Mao S, Yan Y. Elemene Increases Autophagic Apoptosis and Drug Sensitivity in Human Cisplatin (DDP)-Resistant Lung Cancer Cell Line SPC-A-1/DDP By Inducing Beclin-1 Expression. *Oncol Res.* 2017. [PMID] [DOI:10.3727/096504017X14954936991990]
 44. Monk BJ, Coleman RL. Changing the paradigm in the treatment of platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer: from platinum doublets to nonplatinum doublets and adding antiangiogenesis compounds. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2009;19 Suppl 2:S63-7. [DOI:10.1111/IGC.0b013e3181c104fa] [PMID]
 45. Mikuła-Pietrasik J, Witucka A, Pakuła M, Uruski P, Begier-Krasińska B, Niklas A, et al. Comprehensive review on how platinum- and taxane-based chemotherapy of ovarian cancer affects biology of normal cells. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2019;76(4):681-97. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1007/s00018-018-2954-1]

46. Gao Y, Li Y, Zhang C, Han J, Liang H, Zhang K, Guo H. Evaluating the benefits of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced epithelial ovarian cancer: a retrospective study. *J Ovarian Res.* 2019; 12(1):85. [DOI:10.1186/s13048-019-0562-9] [PMID] [PMCID]
47. Amable L. Cisplatin resistance and opportunities for precision medicine. *Pharmacol Res.* 2016;106: 27-36. [DOI:10.1016/j.phrs.2016.01.001] [PMID]
48. Weiner-Gorzel K, Dempsey E, Milewska M, McGoldrick A, Toh V, Walsh A, et al. Overexpression of the microRNA miR-433 promotes resistance to paclitaxel through the induction of cellular senescence in ovarian cancer cells. *Cancer Med.* 2015;4(5):745-58. [DOI:10.1002/cam4.409] [PMID] [PMCID]
49. Ishida S, McCormick F, Smith-McCune K, Hanahan D. Enhancing tumor-specific uptake of the anticancer drug cisplatin with a copper chelator. *Cancer Cell.* 2010;17(6):574-83. [PMID] [DOI:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.04.011] [PMCID]
50. Li T, Peng J, Zeng F, Zhang K, Liu J, Li X, et al. Association between polymorphisms in CTR1, CTR2, ATP7A, and ATP7B and platinum resistance in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2017;55(10):774-80. [DOI:10.5414/CP202907] [PMID]
51. Gordon AN, Fleagle JT, Guthrie D, Parkin DE, Gore ME, Lacave AJ. Recurrent epithelial ovarian carcinoma: a randomized phase III study of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin versus topotecan. *Clin Oncol.* 2001;19(14):3312-22. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2001.19.14.3312] [PMID]
52. Gordon AN, Tonda M, Sun S, Rackoff W. Long-term survival advantage for women treated with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin compared with topotecan in a phase 3 randomized study of recurrent and refractory epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2004;95(1):1-8. [DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2004.07.011] [PMID]
53. Oronsky B, Ray CM, Spira AI, Trepel JB, Carter CA, Cottrill HM. A brief review of the management of platinum-resistant-platinum-refractory ovarian cancer. *Med Oncol.* 2017; 34(6):103. [DOI:10.1007/s12032-017-0960-z] [PMID]
54. Luo Y, Lee M, Kim HS, Chung HH, Song YS. Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on platinum resistance in stage IIIC and IV epithelial ovarian cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2016;95(36):e4797. [DOI:10.1097/MD.0000000000004797] [PMID] [PMCID]
55. Petrillo M, Ferrandina G, Fagotti A, Vizzielli G, Margariti PA, Pedone AL, et al. Timing and pattern of recurrence in ovarian cancer patients with high tumor dissemination treated with primary debulking surgery versus neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2013;20(12): 3955-60. [DOI:10.1245/s10434-013-3091-6] [PMID]
56. da Costa AA, Valadares CV, Baiocchi G, Mantoan H, Saito A, Sanches S, et al. Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Followed by Interval Debulking Surgery and the Risk of Platinum Resistance in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2015;22 Suppl 3:S971-8. [PMID] [DOI:10.1245/s10434-015-4623-z]
57. Gadducci A, Cosio S, Zizioli V, Notaro S, Tana R, Panattoni A, Sartori E. Patterns of Recurrence and Clinical Outcome of Patients With Stage IIIC to Stage IV Epithelial Ovarian Cancer in Complete Response After Primary Debulking Surgery Plus Chemotherapy or Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Followed by Interval Debulking Surgery: An Italian Multicenter Retrospective Study. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2017;27(1):28-36. [DOI:10.1097/IGC.0000000000000843] [PMID]
58. Sessa C, Cresta S, Noberasco C, Capri G, Gallerani E, De Braud F, et al. Phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic study of trabectedin and cisplatin in solid tumours. *Eur J Cancer.* 2009;45(12):2116-22. [DOI:10.1016/j.ejca.2009.04.002] [PMID]
59. Krasner CN, McMeekin DS, Chan S, Braly PS, Renshaw FG, Kaye S, et al. A Phase II study of trabectedin single agent in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer previously treated with platinum-based regimens. *Br J Cancer.* 2007;97(12):1618-24. [DOI:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604088] [PMID] [PMCID]
60. Monk BJ, Herzog TJ, Kaye SB, Krasner CN, Vermorken JB, Muggia FM, et al. Trabectedin plus pegylated liposomal Doxorubicin in recurrent ovarian cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(19):3107-14. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2009.25.4037] [PMID]
61. Monk BJ, Herzog TJ, Kaye SB, Krasner CN, Vermorken JB, Muggia FM, et al. Trabectedin plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) versus PLD in recurrent ovarian cancer: overall survival analysis. *Eur J Cancer.* 2012;48(15): 2361-8. [DOI:10.1016/j.ejca.2012.04.001] [PMID]
62. Ferrandina G, Salutari V, Vincenzi B, Marinaccio M, Naglieri E, Loizzi V, et al. Trabectedin as single agent in the salvage treatment of heavily treated ovarian cancer patients: a retrospective, multicenter study. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2013;130(3): 505-10. [DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2013.06.008] [PMID]
63. del Campo JM, Sessa C, Krasner CN, Vermorken JB, Colombo N, Kaye S, et al. Trabectedin as single agent in relapsed advanced ovarian cancer: results from a retrospective pooled analysis of

- three phase II trials. *Med Oncol.* 2013;30(1):435. [DOI:10.1007/s12032-012-0435-1] [PMID]
64. Del Campo JM, Roszak A, Bidzinski M, Ciuleanu TE, Hogberg T, Wojtukiewicz MZ, et al. Phase II randomized study of trabectedin given as two different every 3 weeks dose schedules (1.5 mg/m² 24 h or 1.3 mg/m² 3 h) to patients with relapsed, platinum-sensitive, advanced ovarian cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20(11):1794-802. [DOI:10.1093/annonc/mdp198] [PMID]
 65. Nicoletto MO, Baldoni A, Casarin A, Randon G, Nardin M, Baretta Z, et al. Trabectedin plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin: retrospective analysis in heavily pretreated platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer. *Tumori.* 2015;101(5):506-10. [DOI:10.5301/tj.5000371] [PMID] [PMCID]
 66. Pignata S, Scambia G, Raspagliesi F, Murgia V, Pisano C, Salutati V, et al. The MITO8 phase III international multicenter randomized study testing the effect on survival of prolonging platinum-free interval (PFI) in patients with ovarian cancer (OC) recurring between 6 and 12 months after previous platinum-based chemotherapy: A collaboration of MITO, MANGO, AGO, BGOG, ENGOT, and GCIg. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;35(29):3347-53. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2017.73.4293] [PMID]
 67. Hamanishi J, Mandai M, Konishi I. Immune checkpoint inhibition in ovarian cancer. *Int Immunol.* 2016;28(7):339-48. [DOI:10.1093/intimm/dxw020] [PMID]
 68. Colmegna B, Uboldi S, Frapolli R, Licandro SA, Panini N, Galmarini CM, et al. Increased sensitivity to platinum drugs of cancer cells with acquired resistance to trabectedin. *Br J Cancer.* 2015;113(12):1687-93. [DOI:10.1038/bjc.2015.407] [PMID] [PMCID]
 69. Poveda A, Vergote I, Tjulandin S, Kong B, Roy M, Chan S, et al. Trabectedin plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in relapsed ovarian cancer: outcomes in the partially platinum-sensitive (platinum-free interval 6-12 months) subpopulation of OVA-301 phase III randomized trial. *Ann Oncol.* 2011;22(1):39-48. [PMCID] [DOI:10.1093/annonc/mdq352] [PMID]
 70. Poveda A, Ray-Coquard I, Romero I, Lopez-Guerrero JA, Colombo N. Emerging treatment strategies in recurrent platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer: focus on trabectedin. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2014;40(3):366-75. [DOI:10.1016/j.ctrv.2013.08.001] [PMID]
 71. Kato MK, Yunokawa M, Bun S, Shimoi T, Yonemori K, Miyasaka N, et al. Treatment strategies for recurrent ovarian cancer in older adult patients in Japan: a study based on real-world data. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2020;146(5):1335-41. [DOI:10.1007/s00432-020-03168-z] [PMID]
 72. Piccart MJ, Green JA, Lacave AJ, Reed N, Vergote I, Benedetti-Panici P, et al. Oxaliplatin or paclitaxel in patients with platinum-pretreated advanced ovarian cancer: A randomized phase II study of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Gynecology Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18(6):1193-202. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2000.18.6.1193] [PMID]
 73. Buda A, Floriani I, Rossi R, Colombo N, Torri V, Conte PF, et al. Randomised controlled trial comparing single agent paclitaxel vs epidoxorubicin plus paclitaxel in patients with advanced ovarian cancer in early progression after platinum-based chemotherapy: an Italian Collaborative Study from the Mario Negri Institute, Milan, G.O.N.O. (Gruppo Oncologico Nord Ovest) group and I.O.R. (Istituto Oncologico Romagnolo) group. *Br J Cancer.* 2004;90(11):2112-7. [DOI:10.1038/sj.bjc.6601787] [PMID] [PMCID]
 74. Cantù MG, Buda A, Parma G, Rossi R, Floriani I, Bonazzi C, et al. Randomized controlled trial of single-agent paclitaxel versus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and cisplatin in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer who responded to first-line platinum-based regimens. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(5):1232-7. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.20.5.1232] [PMID]
 75. Kishimoto S, Yasuda M, Fukushima S. Changes in the Expression of Various Transporters as Influencing Factors of Resistance to Cisplatin. *Anticancer Res.* 2017;37(10):5477-84. [DOI:10.21873/anticancer.11977]
 76. Öhrvik H, Nose Y, Wood LK, Kim BE, Gleber SC, Ralle M, Thiele DJ. Ctr2 regulates biogenesis of a cleaved form of mammalian Ctr1 metal transporter lacking the copper- and cisplatin-binding ectodomain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013;110(46):E4279-88. [DOI:10.1073/pnas.1311749110] [PMID] [PMCID]
 77. Sørensen BH, Dam CS, Stürup S, Lambert IH. Dual role of LRRC8A-containing transporters on cisplatin resistance in human ovarian cancer cells. *J Inorg Biochem.* 2016;160:287-95. [DOI:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2016.04.004] [PMID]
 78. Kerbel RS, Kamen BA. The anti-angiogenic basis of metronomic chemotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2004;4(6):423-36. [DOI:10.1038/nrc1369] [PMID]
 79. Rosenberg P, Andersson H, Boman K, Ridderheim M, Sorbe B, Puistola U, Parö G. Randomized trial of single agent paclitaxel given weekly versus every three weeks and with peroral versus intravenous steroid premedication to patients with ovarian cancer previously treated with platinum. *Acta Oncol.* 2002;41(5):418-24. [DOI:10.1080/028418602320404998] [PMID]

80. Colombo N, Kutarska E, Dimopoulos M, Bae DS, Rzepka-Gorska I, Bidzinski M, et al. Randomized, open-label, phase III study comparing patupilone (EPO906) with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in platinum-refractory or -resistant patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, primary fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(31):3841-7. [DOI:10.1200/JCO.2011.38.8082] [PMID]
81. Rustin GJ, Vergote I, Eisenhauer E, Pujade-Lauraine E, Quinn M, Thigpen T, et al. Definitions for response and progression in ovarian cancer clinical trials incorporating RECIST 1.1 and CA 125 agreed by the Gynecological Cancer Intergroup (GCIg). *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2011; 21(2):419-23. [DOI:10.1097/IGC.0b013e3182070f17] [PMID]
82. Vergote I, Calvert H, Kania M, Kaiser C, Zimmermann AH, Sehouli J. A randomised, double-blind, phase II study of two doses of pemetrexed in the treatment of platinum-resistant, epithelial ovarian or primary peritoneal cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2009;45(8):1415-23. [DOI:10.1016/j.ejca.2008.12.013] [PMID]
83. Gore ME, Fryatt I, Wiltshaw E, Dawson T. Treatment of relapsed carcinoma of the ovary with cisplatin or carboplatin following initial treatment with these compounds. *Gynecol Oncol*. 1990; 36(2):207-11. [PMID] [DOI:10.1016/0090-8258(90)90174-J]
84. National Academies of Sciences E, Medicine. *Ovarian cancers: evolving paradigms in research and care*: National Academies Press; 2016.

How to Cite This Article:

Sheikhhasani, S., Noorzadeh, M., Naemi, M. Platinum- and Non-Platinum-Based Chemotherapy in Patients with Recurrent Platinum-Resistant Ovarian Cancer: A Review Article. *J Obstet Gynecol Cancer Res*. 2024;9(2):114-24.

Download citation:

[RIS](#) | [EndNote](#) | [Mendeley](#) | [BibTeX](#) |